



Handbook for Teachers and Trainers

Integrity in Research and Society

S-Series



This project receives funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 824488.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank

Arja R. Aro, Dick Bourgeois-Doyle, María del Carmen Bernal González, Cheng-Chen Chen, Iliyana Demirova, Agnieszka Dwojak-Matras, Martina Felst, Nicole Föger, Margarita Grudova, Jacques Guerette, Mette Winge Jakobsen, Katarzyna Kalinowska-Sinkowska, Agnieszka Koterwas, Peter Krope, Michael Kulik, Dirk Lanzerath, Tom Lindemann, Belén López, Erika Löfström, Teodor Metodiev, Katharina Miller, Simson Mwale, Dennis Niesel, Maria Palianopoulou, Erik Rading, Anna Sapundzhieva, Jochen Schaefer, Julius Späte, Christiane Stock, Nick Vilter, Adrian Vogt, Nicolaus Wilder, Linda Zollitsch

Members of ENRIO European Network of Research Integrity Offices

Members of ENERI European Network of Research Ethics and Research Integrity

Participants at "Wissenschaftliches Arbeiten Lehren und Lernen"

and many students

for constructive feedback and comments

as well as Holly McKelvey for the design.

Contents

List of figures
List of abbreviations
The purpose of the Path2Integrity handbook
What the Path2Integrity learning card programme offers4
How to prepare your teaching with the Path2Integrity learning cards
How to help students use the card and adapt it to your teaching
I. You can flip your classroom
II. You can introduce Emma's chat: What happened at LONA Science Centre?
III. You can encourage storytelling
IV. You can promote role play
V. Refer to a code of conduct for research integrity
VI. Evaluating students' knowledge and ability to defend good scientific practice
How to support a dialogical learning setting10
How to improve the learning curve
Eleven sessions on integrity in research and society
References
Code of Conduct
List of links

List of figures

Figure 1: The Path2Integrity S-series learning cards	3
Figure 2: Integrity in research and society	4
Figure 3: QR code link to the introductory video of the P2I S-series learning cards	5
Figure 4: Path2Integrity learning card first page	5
Figure 5: Path2Integrity roadmap	6
Figure 6: Emma's chat: What happened at LONA Science Centre? (graphic and video)	6
Figure 7: Storytelling	7
Figure 8: Role play	8
Figure 9: Pre-test & Post-test evaluations	9
Figure 10: Evaluation of learning units	9
Figure 11: S0 learning card	11
Figure 12: S01 learning card	12
Figure 13: S02 learning card	12
Figure 14: S04 learning card	12
Figure 15: S05 learning card	13
Figure 16: S1 learning card	13
Figure 17: S2 learning card	14
Figure 18: S3 learning card	14
Figure 19: S4 learning card	15
Figure 20: S5 learning card.	15
Figure 21: S9 learning card	16

List of abbreviations

P2I	Path2Integrity
P2ILC	Path2Integrity learning cards
ECoC	The European Code of Conduct for Research Integrity

The purpose of the Path2Integrity handbook

Do you want to teach your students how to do research, as well as help them understand how important reliable research is for society? This handbook accompanies the **Path2Integrity learning cards (P2ILC)** on five topics (<u>https://www.path2integrity.eu/ri-materials</u>) and introduces you to an easy and fun learning programme that has been evaluated in over 25 training sessions. The Path2Integrity learning cards S-series is especially designed for secondary school students and undergraduates. Through this series, students learn how research results must be produced in order to be reliable and thus useful for society. The S-series learning cards help students use research findings responsibly while understanding the research landscape and processes within it, and by appreciating the importance of research integrity's criteria for society (cf. Häberlein 2020, 6f.). With the aid of many experienced teachers and trainers, the authors collected tips in this handbook on how to prepare each card, how to support your students' learning curve, and how to overcome the various challenges that might arise as you bring this important topic to your students.

In the next chapters, this handbook helps you prepare and carry out lessons on what makes for good, reliable research with the following learning cards (Fig. 1).



Figure 1: The Path2Integrity S-series learning cards

What the Path2Integrity learning card programme offers

The Path2Integrity learning card programme empowers people to present and discuss issues in a logical manner and to make evidence-based decisions that follow principles of open, honest, and dependable scientific research themselves. Each card can be used in a session of up to two hours to encourage dialoque, adopt different perspectives and get creative. You can use the cards



Figure 2: Integrity in research and society

as a guide for teaching a lesson or as an exercise sheet in class. Furthermore, the length of the exercises and sessions can be adapted to meet the particular needs of your class; the flexibility of the programme allows you to choose and incorporate individual cards or select exercises from them that you consider suitable for your teaching area (Fig. 2).

I introduced my students to the topic of good research practice when I used the cards in a course for bachelor students of electronic engineering in 2019. They didn't know anything about responsible research at first. But they immediately understood the connection by looking at our knowledge-based society. I showed them how they themselves rely on the results of research in many ways, both in everyday life as well as in their studies; they realised that we as a society have to demand that the research community follow certain principles that guarantee reliable research results.

As a cornerstone of the Path2Integrity learning card programme, students "[...] learn how to conduct a dialogue on the rejection or acceptance of norms in research integrity"¹; in other words, they learn how to argue in favour of practices and principles that ensure good, reliable research results. To support them in this process, you can adapt the learning cards to your and your students' cultural and religious

1 Prieß-Buchheit et al. 2020, 23, <u>https://doi.org/10.3897/rio.6.e53921.</u>

backgrounds. The following chapters show you how to foster your students' understanding of good research practice and its importance to society by using the Path2Integrity learning cards from the S-series. If you are interested in material prepared for graduates or post-graduates, switch to the handbook for the M-series for disciplinary settings or the Y-series for interdisciplinary settings.

The Path2Integrity learning cards highlight studentcentred interactions that help participants address challenging questions through role-playing, storytelling and reaching an agreement with one another. By using Path2Integrity learning cards, you enable your students to develop their own standpoint based on sound arguments, and to be able to demand integrity in research and society.

The design of the cards and the step-by-step procedure especially motivated my students when I used four learning cards from the S-series last semester. They also liked the active exercises, and found these exciting and engaging. In the session "Good research is based on honesty!", however, they found it difficult to relate the scenario to their everyday lives and studies. I realised that they did not yet define themselves as researchers or as decision-makers. To open the door for them to integrity in research and society, I outlined the exercises from the sheet in detail and made reference to the students' prior experience in my explanations in order to help them relate to the topic. I assisted them whenever questions arose; that has helped a great deal.

How to prepare your teaching with the Path2Integrity learning cards

To orientate yourself and to prepare Path2Integrity learning card sessions, the first page of each card tells you what the respective learning card is about (Fig. 4). Using the Path2Integrity learning card gives you both structure for your session as well as additional information for composing your lesson individually. With the cards, the time you save preparing your lesson can then be used to adapt the tasks, subfields and phases to your group, allowing them to dive deeper into the topic.

Before you go into a Path2Integrity learning card session you should:

- 1. be acquainted with the card;
- 2. know the story: What happened at LONA Science Centre?;
- 3. be familiar with a code of conduct for research integrity; and
- 4. have a plan how to navigate your group through the card.

When I started using the P2I learning cards in November 2019, I realised that they contained more information and possibilities than I had expected. By reading the first page of each card, I encountered various topics surrounding integrity in research and society. I watched the short introductory video for the S-series (https://www.youtube. com/watch?v=79Z n-z5i5U, Fig 3) and read the backgrounds and learning objectives on each card. With so many cards at



Figure 3: QR code video of the P2I S-series learning cards

hand, I was initially overwhelmed by the variety until I saw that each card had a heading, which described the main topic of each session.

What I like about the programme is the wide link to the introductory range of topics and the flipped-classroom style with reading preparations, in which my students were prompted prior to our session to

> acquaint themselves with the upcoming topic. Because each card outlines which articles, videos, cartoons etc. will help me best prepare my students, my only task was to inform them what to read. In just three minutes, I had sent my students the task via email. This gave me time to consider extra material and adjust the card to the needs of my course. For my first try with the P2ILC, I chose the card "Research groups work as transparently and openly as possible!" and started to prepare myself with the help of the second page. I worked it through, thought about how I could lead my students through the card's various exercises and tasks using their specific knowledge and

The Heading outlines the main topic of the session.



The Description and background box describes the broader spectrum of the learning content.

> Research integrity role models can serve as orientation and identification. Significant statements from advocates for research integrity can be taken up and discussed in the session.

The Learning Stages box outlines the different phases of the session, as well as the different classroom interactions they entail.

The Learning Objectives box outlines a series of expected skills that should be achieved through the P2ILC sessions; these skills will enable students to engage in dialogue surrounding norms within various subfields of reliable research results (such as research procedures, complying with codes and regulations, and academic writing).



habits, and made a copy of the second page for each student.

Because my students often feel inhibited in situations in which they worry they will be laughed at, I concentrated on preparing the second and third tasks of the card. I decided to prepare a sort of bridge to ease them into a good working mood. Using staples and tape, I designed an avantgarde well, okay, ugly - stick figure, which I showed my students right at the start of task two. It worked! Ms Stick Figure sparked some smiles and helped get my students into a creative mood.

The session was a complete success! In class we introduced ourselves to Emma, Rebecca and Prof Weis at LONA Science Centre, and performed an engaging storytelling exercise about reluctant behaviours that emerge during cooperation. Using the card, we practiced and overcame disagreements and disrespectful accusations by establishing a strong collaborative base. I enjoyed how much fun we had, and continued using the cards in future classes.

After the third session, my students began to anticipate the learning routine, even starting to regulate themselves and creating ideal learning opportunities. I was really able to become a mediator of their learning! In two subsequent sessions, I changed the phases to include longer discussions, after seeing how eager my students were to exchange their thoughts and arguments.

How to help students use the card and adapt it to your teaching

I. You can flip your classroom

Each learning card contains a self-paced preparation phase. Thus, you can divide each learning session into two phases:

- 1. the individual preparation phase; and
- 2. the classroom training.
- Whenever I asked my students to study learning material at home, I carefully selected and prepared the material to avoid overloading them. I wanted my students to engage with the subject without losing motivation². It's great that the P2ILC already contain material that I could supplement with guiding questions. I'm lucky that my students are used to doing some learning at home, meaning we had more time for the interactive sessions in class.

If you want, you can change the flipped classroom into a reading session at the beginning of the lesson. When selecting material, please take into account that each student needs to be able to access it.

In the description of each learning card, the authors prepared additional material that you can use for the preparation phase (see the section "Eleven sessions on integrity in research and society" on page 11 of this handbook). For more information on how to flip your classroom, as well as on how to supplement the learning material, please refer to the Path2Integrity roadmap (https://www.path2integrity.eu/teaching-RI Fig. 5).





2 For further information see Nimmerfroh 2016.

II. You can introduce Emma's chat: What happened at LONA Science Centre?

Emma's chat (What happened at LONA Science Centre?) is a narrative from the Path2Integrity learning card programme, in which reliable research results are at stake. The narrative is introduced in S0 and subsequently used in each card while developing in different directions.

The story of Emma, Rebecca and Prof Weis at LONA Science Centre, which is used in many of the cards, fascinated us. From session to session, students identified with the characters and imagined as well as relived their adventures. In particular, my students loved the pink sections of the learning cards, which emphasise taking a dialogical approach to the LONA Science Centre narrative.

😭 Mum Centre?, you can reflect as well as express different points of view and heard s really Rebecca Prof. Weis...She's so nice and

Figure 6: Emma's chat: What happened at LONA Science Centre? (graphic and video)

With What happened at LONA Science

and start a reciprocal learning process. If you want, you can either use the visually appealing graphic (http://doi.org/10.5281/ zenodo.3384744) or the video (https://www.youtube.com/ watch?v=e4-TbZIMvto) at the beginning of each session. To ensure that your students understand the narrative, you can ask them to describe the story in their own words and to articulate what integrity challenge is being described: namely, a familiar problem of conflicting motivations, in which good scientific practice weighed against other is inclinations and incentives such as obedience, hierarchy, structural forces or more (Fig. 6).

When we reviewed what Emma's chat entailed, my students noticed that Emma had overheard an argument in which different motivations are involved. For my students, it was evident that the story displayed a clash between Prof. Weis' obedience towards the head of the institution and her inclination towards good scientific practice. They understood that the main characters had no fundamental problem in terms of ethical orientation, and that they actually knew what was morally right to do.

III. You can encourage storytelling

Storytelling can increase "sympathetic imagination"³, ethical reflection and comprehension of others, as well as vivid, reflective and experiential responses.⁴ Through storytelling, students can acquire knowledge, develop solutions to a problem together and build a common language by expressing realities of human experience through the art of narrative.⁵



Figure 7: Storytelling

In the storytelling exercises contained in the P2ILC, students articulate how they interpret concepts like research integrity or how occurrences of e.g. mistrust can influence their point of view. Using their own words and expressing both common and diverse views, they tell short stories e.g. about the importance of citation methods, difficult working conditions that lead to research guidelines being disregarded, or the use of a raised voice Nevertheless, they experienced a situation in which other incentives put research integrity at stake.

When they were asked to engage in story-telling in S4, my students listened to different statements from their peers, outlined their knowledge, and started to discuss power structures in the context of Emma's chat. They began to develop and rationalise their own arguments for the importance of integrity in research and society.

as a symbol of discord in research cooperation. Learning with storytelling invites students to step away from their own feelings and subjective attitudes and to begin developing a common language by "thinking aloud" and exchanging different points of view.

When I asked my students to write a scene from the script of a screenplay in our S1 session, they got really into it, bringing in reliable research results and facts, as well as opinions and judgements as to how this might compare to real-life conditions⁶. At one point, I intervened and pointed out that 'What happened at LONA Science Centre?' is a fictional narrative that can develop in different ways. Students enjoyed looking for alternative solutions and justifying their decisions to one another. Working in small groups, they found themselves at the centre of a process in which both interaction and problemsolving skills were required.

I'm a fan of encouraging discussion in the class-room. Still, I did moderate controversy in the students' discussions to prevent emotions flaring. I wanted to keep the balance between what Retzmann, an economics education expert, calls "involvement and distance"⁷ and decided to provide my students with decision matrixes to help them clarify the advantages, disadvantages and consequences of alternative decision options. It's great that the learning cards allow you to be so flexible.

³ Nussbaum 1997, 85 and 95.

⁴ cf. Frank and Osbeck 2016; Nussbaum 1990; Nussbaum 1997; Phillips 2010; Zipes 2005.

⁵ cf. Nussbaum 1990, 5.

⁶ cf. Kaiser and Brettschneider 2015, 146f.

⁷ Retzmann 2007, 43 quote Reinhard 1999, 10ff. [translated by Lisa Häberlein].

IV. You can promote role play

Role-playing is an exploratory game in which students assume an "as-if character".⁸ Through role play you promote classroom participation, awareness of the complexities of ethics, critical and reflexive thinking, application of concepts, emotional engagement and personal accountability.⁹



Figure 8: Role play

9

8 Fürstenau 2015, 106 [translated by Lisa Häberlein].

It is this experience of putting oneself into different roles that helped my students develop a deeper understanding of their own and others' positions, and to engage questionable research results and possible solutions by taking an active approach. I liked that the role play imparts technical knowledge by directly referencing sources such as '*The European Code of Conduct for Research Integrity*'.

One challenge, however, was making sure that my students engaged with the learning content of learning card S3 "Researchers comply with codes and regulations" in a thoughtful manner. Out of shyness towards others or perhaps due to overload, time and again roles were exaggerated or poorly presented. I decided to pause the role play and invite my students to spend some time discussing the screenplay. I asked them to imagine themselves as researchers in a team in which misconduct is suspected. How would they react? What are the consequences? Why would this or that action be good or bad for science and society? We discussed which action should be referred to as good scientific practice or misconduct. This allowed my students to delve into the scenario more deeply. We tried the role play once again and it worked much better.

cf. Löfström 2012, 349 in reference to Clarkburn 2002, Sirin et al. 2003, Sparks and Hunt 1998, DeNeve and Heppner 1997; Grose-Fifer 2017; Löfström 2016; McCarthy and Anderson 2000; McWilliams and Nahavandi 2006; Poling and Hupp 2009; Poorman 2002; Rosnow 1990; Strohmetz and Skleder 1992.

To get started with role play in the Path2Integrity learning cards, you can orientate yourself using the following steps:

- Preparation: You know your students best. Get them in the right mood thematically and emotionally. Read the instructions together and help your students identify with their role. Offer them a comprehensive picture of the situation. You can also describe characteristics of the role to be played in detail.¹⁰
- 2. Performing: **Provide ample space for the role-playing scenario**, making sure to give your students enough time as well. If necessary, you can also provide a start signal or assign moderators to take over a guiding function in the role play.
- 3. Reflection: Make sure that you plan in at least as much time to reflect the role play as for the role play itself. Gradually guide your students out of the scenario by allowing them to summarise and evaluate what they have experienced¹¹. Follow the instructions from the P2ILC or invite your students to share what they have observed in the play, and how they have judged decisions and interpreted the actions of others. Finally, evaluation of the role play should focus on how your students can apply these concepts in future, and use them to argue in favour of evidence-based decisions and good research practice. If necessary, provoking questions about honesty, accountability, respect and reliability in research can stimulate a reflective analysis of the players' behaviour and their reasoning for it.

V. Refer to a code of conduct for research integrity

The Path2Integrity project uses The European Code of Conduct for Research Integrity (ECoC) as a reference document. It provides clear guidelines and reference points for orientation in the research community. By referring to the ECoC, students are able to recognise standards of good research as such and refer to them in specific cases when they need guidance. This document, like other codes of conduct, serves as a basis for regulating one's own behaviour; this makes it possible to avoid thinking in terms of relativism when evaluating research behaviour through a moral lens. Depending on your cultural and disciplinary requirements, you may refer to the ECoC or choose other national, institutional or disciplinary codes of good research practice within your area of teaching that seem most appropriate for your group.

It is important to remember that the code of conduct you choose to refer to should not be used dogmatically, but rather should serve to orientate students towards basic principles of good research practice.

VI. Evaluating students' knowledge and ability to defend good scientific practice

Over the lifetime of the project, the Path2Integrity learning card programme additionally includes one card each for pre- and post-testing (S0 and S9). If you prefer to evaluate without the cards, you can use the following two links (Fig. 9):

Pre-test:

https://path2integrity.eu/limesurvey/ index.php/714871?newtest=Y&lang=en

https://path2integrity.eu/limesurvey/



Post-test:



Figure 9: Pre-test & Post-test evaluations

The pre- and post-tests each take approximately 15 minutes. The test evaluates the effectiveness of the learning cards in your class and examines in open and closed questions (1) how to act as a researcher, e.g. how to cite or where to go to report misconduct; and (2) how to argue in favour of good scientific research, e.g. to achieve systematic and accessible knowledge or to make one's work more transparent.





The test examines the students' points of view on what makes for good and reliable research. Comparing results from the pre- and post-tests will illuminate any changes in the students' knowledge and patterns of argument that have emerged during the course of using the learning cards. As indicated in learning card S9, you only need to send an email to evaluation@path2integrity.uni-kiel.de to receive your results. The anonymised results are indicators of how your students on average (not at an individual level) argued in favour of good scientific practice both before and after P2I sessions.¹²

The P2I project recommends starting with S0 and ending your teaching with S9 if you intend to use three or more learning cards (cf. Fig. 10). As a trainer you can also give feedback on what obstacles you encountered in your sessions or what made you and your students particularly enthusiastic about the learning cards. This feedback will help to identify your trainer-specific needs in the classroom and to develop the programme further. Use this link: https:// path2integrity.eu/limesurvey/index.php/593973?lang=en

If you would like to find out how the participants' experience was, you can have everyone fill out the smiley face questionnaire at the end of your P2I courses: https://path2integrity.eu/limesurvey/index.php/553522? lang=en

How to support a dialogical learning setting

The Path2Integrity learning cards use dialogical methods to provide an active and sustainable learning environment. The sections marked in pink on the exercise sheets indicate that students will engage in storytelling, role-playing or reaching an agreement. In these sections, students are challenged in various contexts to provide rational arguments, set common goals and norms, request that someone do something, establish preconditions for a dialogue and weigh both pros and cons of different actions. To this end, students need to show a certain amount of tolerance for ambiguity, communicate openly, listen actively and trust one another.

It can sometimes be difficult to create an atmosphere in which dialogical methods can be successfully pursued. Holding the lesson in a room that is large enough for interactive sessions and which allows chairs and desks to be removed can provide a supportive surrounding; as well as letting students sit together (though not in front of one another) and providing everyone with the same materials, e.g. exercise books, pencils etc. It is possible to hold these sessions online. Just use a tool that supports breakout sessions, like for example the online teaching platform of Path2Integrity, which you can find here: <u>https://learning-p2i.eu/</u>

If students are not used to actively contributing, trainers can facilitate a smooth transition into the exercise by allowing the students to choose between being an observer or player during the dialogical exercises, thus giving students time to adjust. In such sessions the tasks highlighted in pink on the learning cards are conducted by players, while observers closely watch one or two groups and subsequently write down what they learned from the presentations of others with regard to the key message from the heading of the respective card, e.g. **Researchers** ensure appropriate authorship and citation!

In case you notice shortcomings in the dialogues of groups that are struggling to perform the tasks highlighted in pink, you can discuss all or some of the following rules with your students to take a new direction¹³:

- Be ready to have a dialogue about accepting or rejecting norms.
- Make sure that everyone can participate in the dialogue.
- Acknowledge each contribution to the discussion as a noteworthy argument.
- 4. Share your prior knowledge when required and be prepared to discuss it.
- 5. Do not call upon someone's prior knowledge when you have rejected it yourself as unacceptable.
- 6. Do not stick to an opinion in the face of better information; accept stronger arguments.
- 7. Do not use an ambiguous argument to convice someone.
- Remember that your social status does not replace making a good argument.
- 9. Be ready to provide reasons for your statements if asked to do so.

13 These are nine out of 14 rules on how to conduct a rational dialogue (cf. Klare and Krope 1977, 124).

The dialogical approach to teaching students about what is necessary to produce reliable research results and evidence-based decisions in society: a closer look.

According to Lorenz (2005, 189–191), a dialogue is a verbal discussion between two or more people, characterised by speech and counter-speech with the following specifics: question and answer (to clarify terms), claim and counterclaim (to justify decisions), and proof and falsification (to disclose inferences). A dialogue is a high-quality interpersonal relationship (cf. Widdershoven and Solbakk 2019) and seeks to be an ideal speech situation (cf. Habermas 1990, 43– 115) in which the other (youk) is recognised as a person, instrumentalisation is renounced, others' right to differing opinions is taken seriously, and an I and **you** role can be clearly defined (cf. Lorenz 2005, 189–191). When impartial, unconstrained and non-persuasive acts are respected, a dialogue can be conducted (cf. Gethmann 2005, 191).

A dialogical approach in teaching and learning builds common language and enables students to answer questions and develop solutions. It can be successful when equal rights and obligations for all parties are ensured and powerdriven assertions, threats, deceptions and promises that cannot be fulfilled are eschewed (cf. Janich 2009, 20–21).

A piece of advice from gender expert Katharina Miller:

One challenge within dialogical learning settings can be the lack of eye-level conversations between different genders. Within the Path2Integrity project, the gender dimension has been observed to play a role in interactive sessions. "Storytelling and role play are often gender-mixed interactions in classrooms, incorporating gender-specific interaction patterns. Because women have less speech percentage and more speech interruptions in gender-mixed discussion groups [...]ⁿ¹⁴ P2I suggests teachers be aware of these (usually unconscious) power structures. That is why we recommend that you empower men and women to "[...] unfold their different emotions connected to their experiences"¹⁵ by raising their awareness of existing differences and supporting their individual approaches towards participating in the dialogical discussions. This could be accomplished through an awareness training before the use of the learning cards starts. I am happy to accompany your learning experience. You can send an email to miller@3ccompliance.com and I will provide you with more information.

14 Prieß-Buchheit et al. 2020, 20.

15 Prieß-Buchheit et al. 2020, 20.

How to improve the learning curve

To improve the learning curve, the Path2Integrity project recommends using a **learning journal** after each session. To implement a learning journal in your Path2Integrity teaching, you can follow these steps:

- 1. Review the learning objectives box on the respective Path2Integrity learning card.
- Create a writing prompt for your students that requires them to summarise the lesson. Start the prompt with, "Write between five and ten sentences starting with the words 'how did you...'"
- Then list the objectives of the respective card, e.g. from card S5:
 - a) understand academic writing procedures;

- b) describe criteria for good academic writing;
- c) explain the importance of citation;
- weigh different evaluation criteria you can use when writing academic or non-academic papers such as fiction.
- 4. To conclude the prompt, add "...in our session today? Can you draw any references and links between the actions of the session and theories, findings or methods, you already know? What do you think about when transferring these actions to a broader scale?"
- 5. Provide your students with the writing prompt at the end of the session and decide when they need to return their response.

Eleven sessions on integrity in research and society





Good research is based on honesty! (cf. ECoC 2017, p.4) https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3383652

Links from learning card S0:

Evaluationofthelearningunits:https://path2integrity.comeu/limesurvey/index.php/714871?newtest=Y&lang=en

0



This learning card **introduces** learners to how important the responsible conduct of research is for society. The exercises introduce research and how reliable research results are produced, and enable an understanding and usage of research results in our knowledge-based society. In five learning steps, students learn basic values that characterise good research, formulate reasons for honest research by telling stories and find arguments for trustworthy research results for science and society. **This learning card is best used to start the Path2Integrity learning card programme.** Using the pretest linked on the card, you can test for improvement in your courses. Feel free to use the test as an opportunity to discuss where reliable research results are at stake.

Figure 11: S0 learning card



Figure 12: S01 learning card



Students in my course needed precise instructions for the storytelling exercise. They wanted to know, for example, how many words to write for their stories. I supplied them with these details and they were happy to do the task. Sometimes it just takes a little support."

This learning card challenges citizens to value responsible research results used in society. In five exercises, they learn to accept researcher's impact for society, acknowledge the importance of reliable research results and request that researchers conduct responsible research.

In this learning card, citizens become storytellers and speak up for responsible research. They describe criteria for bad research, learn how to implement research outputs into our knowledge-based society and argue in favour of the importance of reliable research results for both research and society in four learning steps.

Links from learning card S02:

The European Code Conduct of for Integrity: Research https:// www.allea.org/wp-content/uploads/ 2017/05/ALLEA-European-Code-of-Conductfor-Research-Integrity-2017.pdf



Figure 13: S02 learning card

Links from learning card S04: Building a foundation: https://www. path2integrity.eu/teaching-RI/content/ Learning Card S04: Emphasizes II collaborative work Academic integrity 1 Rotor to it and regul 2 Acknowle behavious is a safeguard for collaborative work! 3 Establish https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3965672 International students reported that they actually $\langle \gamma \rangle$ This learning unit introduces citizens to codes and

regulations in academia which are important for group work. In rotatory role play they establish an open, transparent, logical and reasonable dialogue and acknowledge that aggressive behaviour hinders academic integrity.



experience similar situations in their everyday life as addressed in the learning card S04, so we chose one of these examples for discussing academic integrity in collaborations; it was great and has allowed for the exchange of experience and knowledge!

Figure 14: S04 learning card



This learning card introduces citizens to reliable information in our knowledge-based society. In storytelling, they understand the importance of reliable research results and describe criteria for reliable academic information. In five learning steps, participants explain the importance of correct citations and reliable sources and weigh different criteria for academic writing.

Figure 15: S05 learning card



This learning card draws learners' attention to the research environment that ensures reliable research results for society. The exercise sheet enables participants to acknowledge safekeepers in research and challenges them to value and request good and reliable research for society. In five steps the learners engage in storytelling and reflect on how to require researchers to adhere to the norms of honest research.

Figure 16: S1 learning card

Links from learning card S1:

TheEuropeanCodeofConductforResearchIntegrity:https://www.allea.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/05/ALLEA-European-Code-of-Conduct-for-Research-Integrity-2017.pdf



If it works for your class, you can also use the following additional material:

The Research Integrity Office (ORI) provides an infographic on "The research community safeguards" addressing the responsibility of the research community in promoting research integrity: https://ori.hhs.gov/sites/default/ files/2018-04/3_Should_You_Trust_Science.pdf



Before I assigned students to do the preparation task from S1, I introduced them to the terms 'ethics commission', 'ombudsperson' and 'data management officer' using the definitions in the yellow highlighted box from the learning card. This was a good move, because my students were not yet familiar with the idea of a 'research environment'. For example, they had no idea that a noteworthy regulatory institution exists that contributes to securing reliable research.







Researchers follow their aims in a careful and well-considered

manner! (cf. ECoC 2017, p.5) https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3383805

This learning card introduces learners to research procedures that are necessary for careful and wellconsidered research and for producing reliable results. The exercises stress how important the responsible conduct of research is for society. In four learning steps, students describe the criteria of responsible research and, when telling stories, argue in favour of the importance of reliable research results for both science and society.

When I asked my students to continue the story of the LONA Science Centre and give advice to Prof. Weis in my S2 session, we took another look at the norms and values mentioned in the ECoC. Where they could only think of one solution at a time, the document provided us with alternative arguments. Heterogeneity really improved multidimensional thinking in my class.

Links from learning card S2:

The European Code of Conduct for Research Integrity: https://www.allea.org/wp-content/ uploads/2017/05/ALLEA-European-Code-of-Conduct-for-Research-Integrity-2017.pdf



If it works for your class, you can also use the following additional material:

The science comic from digital architect Patrick Hochstenbach "Anatomy of scientific bias" illustrates clear messages regarding norms in research procedures. <u>https://</u> hochstenbach.files.wordpress.com/2017/02/ scientific_bias_600dpi_rgb.jpg?w=710



"What is scientific research?" is a 3-minute video that gives students a brief introduction to research procedures. You can ask students to watch the video and take notes: Which procedures might follow George's experiment before he actually gets to the final product? <u>https://www.youtube.com/</u> watch?v=RYLsKM3lkrA



Figure 17: S2 learning card

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		
<b>33</b> 5 W		
Adve San Marcon	nna Patr@integrty	
1997	archers comply with codes and regulations" (ECcC 2017, p.7) and background	
Betroduces abole Republices and Recourses and Recourses	dh a frasardh andar andar annar an annar anna cann	
Brogerty is my Requires cells Brosserth and I This unit has be	Learning Card S3:	
Learning 1 Rulers of 2 Lines of 4 Lines of	Researchers comply with	
3 Ratability Inglice and Advantes Values h	codes and regulations! (ECoC 2017, p.7)	
"If science is to findings or by pr (iii) The project matters for and invocation for	https://doi.org/10.5281/zepod	0.3383817

This learning card introduces learners to guidelines safeguarding research integrity and requires them to learn criteria for promoting good research and engaging in dialogue surrounding it. In five learning steps, role players are asked to take account of regulations that help maintain good research, to enable reliable research results by establishing an open, transparent, logical and reasonable dialogue and to acknowledge that structural aggression hinders good research. When I used learning card S3, I changed the lesson plan and introduced my students to German rules and regulations safeguarding good research practice first. Before we started role-playing, I pointed out what it means to be tolerant in the case of ambiguity, to communicate openly, to listen actively and to trust one another. Together we practiced how to provide rational arguments and how to weigh the pros and cons of different actions. That was a good idea, because my students had initially not known anything about the German code of conduct or about how to conduct a dialogue.

#### Links from learning card S3:

The European Code of Conduct for Research Integrity: <u>https://www.allea.org/wp-content/</u> <u>uploads/2017/05/ALLEA-European-Code-of-</u> <u>Conduct-for-Research-Integrity-2017.pdf</u>







Learn & alms an researc



Research groups work as transparently and openly as possible! (cf. ECoC 2017, p.6-7) https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3383827

I explained research agreements by linking them to open and transparent communication. That went well, because my students overcame their initial assumption that group work is just talking to one another. They started to think about group work from a new angle and discovered that transparency and openness are preconditions for good research collaborations.

Figure 19: S4 learning card



This learning card covers the topic of scientific writing and authorship and introduces learners to the rules of academic papers in five learning steps. Through storytelling, students develop an understanding of which processes have to be taken into account when writing academic papers, and learn to name various criteria for good scientific writing as well as explain the importance of citing sources. They also learn to be able to distinguish academic papers from non-academic papers. This learning card introduces learners to research collaborations and corresponding principles. In five learning steps, students learn what collaborations are and why it's necessary to be able to reach an agreement. Students act as if they are researchers, express their wishes and needs through storytelling and practice mutual understanding and respect in a dialogue.

#### Links from learning card S4:

The European Code of Conduct for Research Integrity: <u>https://www.allea.org/wp-content/</u> uploads/2017/05/ALLEA-European-Code-of-Conduct-for-Research-Integrity-2017.pdf



Building a Foundation: <u>https://www.</u> path2integrity.eu/teaching-RI/content/ collaborative_work







When we worked on the S5 card together, focusing on correct authorship and citation, my students started to ask questions about their seminar papers and final theses. So, I took this opportunity to encourage individual questions on scientific writing.

#### Links from the learning card S5:

The three minute video "Refairence" on correct citation for the prevention of plagiarism: <u>https://www.kim.uni-konstanz.</u> <u>de/typo3temp/secure_downloads/68748/0/</u> <u>d217e531e6405cdc07605d5f264c03a7add</u> <u>c0a4f/film_zitieren_engl.mp4</u>



If it works for your class, you can also use the following additional material:

The science comic from the digital architect Patrick Hochstenbach "Pla.gia. rism" illustrates clear messages regarding research values in scientific writing: <u>https://hochstenbach.files.wordpress.com/2017/</u>02/plagiarism_600dpi_rgb.jpg?w=710



Image: Second second

#### Links from learning card S9:

Evaluation of the learning units: https://path2integrity.eu/lime survey/index.php/714871?newtest =Y&lang=en



Figure 21: S9 learning card

## References

Franck, O., Osbeck C. (2016). Challenging the concept of ethical literacy within Education for Sustainable Development (ESD): Storytelling as a method within sustainability didactics. In: Education 3–13, 46 (2): 133–142.

Fürstenau, B. (2015). Rollenspiel. In: Wiechmann J., Wildhirt S. (ed.), Zwölf Unterrichtsmethoden. Weinheim und Basel: Beltz, 95–110.

Gethmann C.F. (2005). Dialog, rationaler [rational dialogue]. In: Mittelstrass, J. (ed.), Enzyklopädie Philosophie und Wissenschaftstheorie, vol. 2. Stuttgart/Weimar: Metzler, 191.

Habermas, J. (1990). Discourse ethics: Notes on a program of philosophical justification. In: Moral consciousness and communicative action. Cambridge: MIT Press, 43–115.

Häberlein, L. (2020). Path2Integrity Target Groups. Deliverable D3.3 EU Horizon 2020 Path2Integrity Project, Grant agreement No 824488.

Janich, P. (2009). Kein neues Menschenbild. Zur Sprache der Hirnforschung [No new image of man. About a language of brain research]. Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp.

Kaiser, F.-J., Brettschneider, V. (2015). Fallstudie. In: Wiechmann J., Wildhirt S. (ed.), Zwölf Unterrichtsmethoden. Weinheim und Basel: Beltz, 146–160.

Klare, T., Krope, P. (1977). Verständigung über Alltagsnormen. Der rationale Dialog – das Verfahren einer undogmatischen It was great to do the test again at the end of the course with four of the P2ILC and to hear from the students themselves that they felt much more confident in their answers on research integrity questions.

With this learning card, students reflect on the importance of reliable research results for science and society. In four learning steps, they recognise codes and regulations as an obligation to good scientific practice, require researchers to commit themselves to the such and create their own declarations in favour of honest research. This learning card should be used to conclude your teachings with the Path2Integrity learning cards from the S-series. With the post-test and the request in learning card S9 to send an email to evaluation@path2integrity.uni-kiel.de, you will be able to gain insight into your students' improvement.

Rechtfertigung von Verhaltensnormen. Ein Kursprogramm für den Sekundarstufenunterricht. München: Urban und Schwarzenberg.

Krope, P. (2013). Dialogische Migrationssozialberatung. Argumentative Wege zur Anerkennung des Anderen [Dialogical social migration counselling. Argumentbased ways of recognizing the other]. Münster/New York/ München/Berlin: Waxmann.

Lorenz, K. (2005). Dialog [Dialogue]. In: Mittelstrass, J. (ed.) Enzyklopädie Philosophie und Wissenschaftstheorie vol. 2. Stuttgart/Weimar: Metzler, 189–191.

Löfström, E. (2012). Students' Ethical Awareness and Conceptions of Research Ethics. In: Ethics & Behavior 22 (5): 349–361. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/10508422.2012.679136</u>

Nimmerfroh, M. (2016). Flipped Classroom. Gütersloh: BertelsmannStiftung.<u>https://www.die-bonn.de/wb/2016-flipped-</u> <u>classroom-01.pdf</u>

Nussbaum, M.C. (1990). Love's knowledge: Essays on philosophy and literature. New York: Oxford University Press. http://search.ebscohost.com/login.asp?direct=true&scope= site&db=nlebk&db=nlab k&AN=367525

Nussbaum, M.C. (1997). Cultivating Humanity: A Classical Defense of Reform in Liberal Education (7th ed.) Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press, 85 and 95.

Phillips, L. (2010). Social justice storytelling and young children's active citizenship. In: Discourse: Studies in the Cultural Politics of Education 31 (3): 363–376. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/01596301003786993</u>

Prieß-Buchheit, J., Aro, A., Kuzmova, I., Lanzerath, D., Stoev, P., Wilder, P. (2020). Rotatory role-playing and role-models to enhance the research integrity culture. Research Ideas and Outcomes 6: e53921. <u>https://doi.org/10.3897/rio.6.e53921</u>

Retzmann, T. (2007). Die Dilemmamethode im Ökonomieunterricht. In: Unterricht Wirtschaft 8 (30): 41–47.

Widdershoven, G., Solbakk, J. (2019). Dialogue versus Debate, Embassy of Good Science. <u>https://www.embassy.science/</u> theme/dialogue-versus-debate

Wilder, N., Zollitsch, L., Lindemann, T., Niesel, D., Vilter, N. Dwojak-Matras, A. (2020). Report on the efficiency assessment. Deliverable D6.1 EU Horizon 2020 Path2Integrity Project, Grant agreement No 824488.

Zipes, J. (2005). To Eat or Be Eaten: The Survival of Traditional Storytelling. In: Storytelling, Self, Society 2 (1): 1–20.

## **Code of Conduct**

European Code of Conduct for Research Integrity (2017) Revised Edition. <u>https://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/</u> <u>data/ref/h2020/other/hi/h2020-ethics_code-of-conduct_</u> <u>en.pdf</u>, May 5th 2020.

# **List of links**

https://www.path2integrity.eu/ri-materials All Path2Integrity learning cards and accompanying material

https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3383652 learning card S0 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3965658 learning card S01 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3965664 learning card S02 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3965672 learning card S04 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3965679 learning card S05 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3383671 learning card S1 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3383805 learning card S2 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3383817 learning card S3 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3383827 learning card S3 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3383833 learning card S4 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3383835 learning card S5 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3383835 learning card S5 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3383835 learning card S9 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3383835 learning card S9 <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=79Z_n-z5i5U</u> An introduction video for the use of the Path2Integrity S-series learning cards

https://www.path2integrity.eu/teaching-RI The Path2Integrity roadmap, a categorised collection of existing innovative and traditional educational material on research integrity and research ethics

http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3384744 Graphic: Emma's Chat: What happened at LONA Science Centre?

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=e4-TbZIMvto Video: Emma's Chat: What happened at LONA Science Centre?

https://path2integrity.eu/limesurvey/index.php/714871? newtest=Y&lang=en Pre-test to evaluate learning units

https://path2integrity.eu/limesurvey/index.php/714871? newtest=Y&lang=en Post-test to evaluate learning units

evaluation@path2integrity.uni-kiel.de email address of a P2I member to contact after evaluation

https://path2integrity.eu/limesurvey/index.php/593973? lang=en Assessing the trainers' perspective

https://path2integrity.eu/limesurvey/index.php/553522? lang=en Assessing the particpants' experience

https://learning-p2i.eu/ P2I online teaching platform

https://www.allea.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/05/ALLEA-European-Code-of-Conduct-for-Research-Integrity-2017.pdf The European Code of Conduct for Research Integrity

https://ori.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/2018-04/3_Should_You_ Trust_Science.pdf Infographic on "The research community safeguards"

https://hochstenbach.files.wordpress.com/2017/02/scientific_ bias_600dpi_rgb.jpg?w=710 Science comic: "Anatomy of scientific bias"

<u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RYLsKM3lkrA</u> Video: "What is scientific research?"

<u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NTtAeiWKgDs</u> Video: "Collaborative Research Solutions"

https://www.path2integrity.eu/teaching-RI/content/ collaborative_work P2I comic: "Building a Foundation"

https://www.kim.uni-konstanz.de/typo3temp/secure_ downloads/68748/0/d217e531e6405cdc07605d5f264 c03a7addc0a4f/film_zitieren_engl.mp4 Video: "Refairence"

https://hochstenbach.files.wordpress.com/2017/02/ plagiarism_600dpi_rgb.jpg?w=710 Science comic: "Pla.gia. rism"

